United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,608	03/30/2004	Mohammed N. Islam	074036.0133	3187
5073 BAKER BOTT 2001 ROSS AV		EXAMINER HELLNER, MARK		
SUITE 600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			3663	PAPER NOMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mike.furr@bakerbotts.com ptomail1@bakerbotts.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/812,608	ISLAM, MOHAMMED N.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark Hellner	3663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHC WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, exply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
• ===	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
<i>'</i> —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 433 C.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-39 and 46-51</u> is/are pending in the attain of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-39 and 46-51</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examine					
	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/812,608

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-39 and 46-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Figure 9C of the present application shows a combiner (944) coupled to a first pump source (942a) and a second pump source (942b). The output of the device is sent to a Raman Oscillator. The structure of the Raman Oscillator is disclosed by figures 8A to 8D.

As a result, the present application fails to provide support for the claimed gain fiber comprising a first waveguide structure.

Figures 8A to 8D disclose what is identified as either a Raman Oscillator or Raman Wavelength shifter.

It is not clear in these figures as to what defines the intermediate waveguide structure coupled to a second waveguide structure capable of wavelength shifting at least one wavelength of a first optical signal to an intermediate wavelength.

Art Unit: 3663

It is also noted that there is no sole figure in the specification that shows the complete structure supporting either independent claim 1 or 29.

An exact description of the combination of figures that supports the claimed subject matter along with a clear identification of structure that supports each individual claimed element is proper in order to make a valid comparison with the prior art.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-39 and 46-51 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The reference cited expands on the concepts of previously recited art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark Hellner at telephone number 571 272 6981.

Mark Hellner

Primary Examiner

AU 3663

Mark Helling